

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD A 1 2 9 1 4 6

-9-

UNCLASSIFIED 6/83

381000 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered) READ DISTRUCTIONS REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE BEFORE COMPLETING PO 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG MAI T. REPORT NUMBER WH0I-83-16 AD- A129146 S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 4. TITLE (and Subtitle) USNS BARTLETT CRUISE 40-B - DATA REPORT Technical PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER WHOI-83-16 ~ CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBERY 7. AUTHOR(e) N00014-82-C-0019; M.C. Stalcup, T.M. Joyce and R.L. Barbour 15. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution NR-083-004 Woods Hole. Massachusetts 02543 12. REPORT DATE 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS June 1983 NORDA/National Space Technology Laboratory 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 39529 Bay St. Louis, MS 18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 14. MONITORING ABENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office) Unclassified 184. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract ... leved in Block 20, if different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

This report should be cited as: Woods Hole Oceanog. Inst. Tech. Rept. WHOI-83-16.

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number)

- 1. XBT Survey
- 2. Warm Core Ring 82I
- 3. Wintertime convection

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Please see reverse side.

DD , FORM 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE S/N 0102-014-6601 |

The second secon

UNCLASSIFIED 6/83

RECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Bries

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PASSIFIED Date Entered

20.

ABSTRACT

deg min

A joint cruise with Dr. Michael Gregg of the Applied Physics Laboratory at the University of Washington was conducted from 8-24 January, 1983, aboard the USNS Bartlett to study the effects of wintertime cooling in a warm core ring. At the beginning of the cruise an XBT survey of ring 82I (found at 40°40°N, 66°W, east of the New England Seamounts) showed a rather confused pattern of surface temperature and salinity with the average depth of the mixed layer about 30 m. On January 16-17, a storm passed near the ring with winds to 45 knots and temperatures below 0°C. An XBT survey at the end of the cruise showed that vertical mixing and cooling during the outbreak of cold air resulted in a more coherent pattern in the surface temperature and salinity-of the ring and an increase in the thickness of the mixed layer to 180 m.

UNCLASSIFIED 6/83

MECURITY CLASSFICATION OF THIS PAGETTHEN Date Between

USNS BARTLETT CRUISE 40-B DATA REPORT

by

M. C. Stalcup. T. M. Joyce R. L. Barbour

WOODS HOLE-QCEANOGRAPHIC INSTITUTION Woods Hole, Massachusetts 02543

June 1983

Distribution/ Availability Codes Avail mad/or

Accession For MTTS GRA&I DITO TAB Justification

Special

TECHNICAL REPORT

Prepared for the Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-82-C-0019; NR 083-004.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government. This report should be cited as: Woods Hole Oceanog. Inst. Tech. Rept. WHOI-83-16.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Approved for Distribution:

N. P. Fotohoff, Chairman

Department of Physical Oceanography

Table of Contents

	Page
Text	1-5
Figure Captions	6-7
Table Captions	8
Tabulated XBT Data	9-10
Tabulated Meteorological Observations	11
Meteorological Summary	12
Surface Analysis Charts	13-27
Charts of XBT Positions, Surface Temperature,	
Surface Salinity, Mixed Layer Depth and	
Depth of 10°C Isotherm	28-37
Surface T/S Diagram	38
Temperature Sections	39-48
Selected XBT Profiles	49

Abstract

A joint cruise with Dr. Michael Gregg of the Applied Physics Laboratory at the University of Washington was conducted from 8-24 January, 1983, aboard the USNS <u>Bartlett</u> to study the effects of wintertime cooling in a warm core ring. At the beginning of the cruise an XBT survey of ring 82I (found at 40°40°N, 66°W, east of the New England Seamounts) showed a rather confused pattern of surface temperature and salinity with the average depth of the mixed layer about 30 m. On January 16-17, a storm passed near the ring with winds to 45 knots and temperatures below 0°C. An XBT survey at the end of the cruise showed that vertical mixing and cooling during the outbreak of cold air resulted in a more coherent pattern in the surface temperature and salinity of the ring and an increase in the thickness of the mixed layer to 180 m.

The state of the s

USMS <u>Bartlett</u> Cruise 40-B Data Report

by

M. C. Stalcup, T. M. Joyce and R. L. Barbour

This report describes the expendable bathythermograph (XBT), surface salinity and temperature, meteorological and drogued buoy observations obtained during a study of a warm core ring during 8-24 January, 1983. The purpose of this investigation was to study the effect of wintertime convection upon a Gulf Stream warm core ring. Dr. Nichael Gregg, of the Applied Physics Laboratory (APL) at the University of Washington, was the chief scientist during the cruise. Additional observations were made with his AMP (Advanced Microstructure Profiler) and CTD (conductivity, temperature, depth) and Dr. Thomas Sanford's Expendable Current Profiler (XCP). The results of these studies are presented in data reports prepared by members of the APL, University of Washington.

The ring selected for this study was designated 82I by the National Marine Fisheries Service and No. 25 by the U.S. Navy. When first surveyed (9-12 January 1983) with XBTs and XCPs, the warm core ring was located near 40°40°N, 66°W, south of Georges Bank and east of the New England Seamounts. At the end of the cruise another XBT/XCP survey (21-22 January) was conducted which showed the ring near 40°10°N, 66°50°W. During the period of the cruise, 9-24 January, the ring moved about 90 km toward the southwest in a direction parallel to the continental slope. The translational speed of the ring was about 6 cm sec⁻¹ which is typical for warm core rings.

Between the two XBT/XCP surveys most of the work was conducted near the center of the ring using Gregg's AMP to measure turbulence and CTD to measure the vertical distribution of temperature and salinity. During this period XBTs No. 48-83 were deployed primarily to determine the ship's position relative to the center of the ring.

The sequence number, date-time and position at which each XBT was deployed is shown in Table 1. The surface temperature was measured with a bucket thermometer and a water sample was collected from the bucket for salinity analysis. The maximum depth each probe reached is also presented.

Table 2 lists the meteorological observations taken at approximately four-hour intervals during the cruise. Wind speed is in knots and, together with wind direction, was obtained from sensors mounted on the foremast at an elevation of about 16 m. Sail wind speed was measured with a vortex-shedding anemometer about 3 m below the ship's wind sensor. Wind direction and ship's heading are in degrees true, barometric pressure is in millibars and temperatures are in degrees Celsius. Barometric pressure was measured in the chart room (elevation about 8 m) and the wet and dry bulb readings were generally obtained on the flying bridge (elevation about 10 m).

On January 11, a drogued buoy system was launched near the center of the ring as a navigational aid during the AMP work and to provide a means of tracking the movement of the ring. The system consisted of an Argos satellite tracked buoy tethered to a radar transponder equipped surface float. The float was anchored to a 10' x 20' window-shade drogue deployed at a depth of 100 m. The buoys were tracked from 0520 January 11, until 0929 January 14, when both buoys stopped transmitting. During this period the buoys moved southwest at speeds up to 40 cm sec⁻¹. The net movement was toward 243°T at 18 cm sec⁻¹.

Figure 1 summarizes the meteorological observations presented in Table 2. The duration of each XBT/XCP survey is indicated by the bars along the top of the figure. The storm of January 16-18 is marked by the low pressures and high winds on these dates. During the storm winds reached 45 knots and air temperatures decreased from 13°C to below 0°C. The barometric pressure and air temperature records are best interpreted using the surface analysis charts presented in Figure 2. These charts show the distribution of barometric pressure (corrected to sea level) for the northeastern seaboard of the U.S. during the period of the cruise. The progression of winter storms is depicted by the movement of low pressure regions as they track across New England, Nova Scotia and the area of the Warm Core Ring at 40°N, 66°W. For instance, the low pressures recorded at the ship on 13 January are seen to result from a strong low which moved northeasterly from 45°N, 90°W on 10 January and across New England and maritime Canada on 11-12 January. This low was centered over Mova Scotia on 13 January only 450 km northeast of the ring. The strong low pressure area centered over Cape Cod in the surface analysis chart for 1200x, 16 January, is

And the same of th

the storm which produced the outbreak of cold air on 19-20 January shown in Figure 1. During the outbreak there were frequent snow squalls, winds averaged 25 knots and sea smoke was common. As the low moved northeasterly the barometric pressure at the ship slowly increased though the temperature continued to decline with the strong (20-30 kt) northwest winds.

The results of the two XBT surveys are illustrated in Figures 3-8. Figures 3a and 3b are the positions at which the XBTs were deployed during each of the two surveys and where surface temperature and salinity data were obtained. The surface temperatures in and near the ring are presented in Figures 4a and 4b. During the initial survey, the area of > 13°C was slightly smaller and less clearly defined than during the second. Only small patches of > 14°C surface temperature remained during the latter and all traces of 15°C surface water were gone. During the Warm Core Rings Program, in the summer of 1982, streams of cool water were seen to spiral into the center of warm core rings from the surrounding slope water. The variability in surface temperature observed in the first survey may be attributed to the presence of such bands of cooler and fresher slope water. The intense vertical mixing which occurred between the two XBT surveys effectively homogenized the upper 50-150 m in the interior of the ring, thus more clearly defining the ring/ slope water boundary as shown in Figure 4b. Contours of the surface salinities during each XBT survey are shown in Figures 5a and 5b. The evoletion of the surface salinity in the ring parallels that of the surface temperature as described above. Figures 6a and 6b are the thickness of the mixed layer during each survey. These charts most clearly depict the results of the vertical mixing which took place during the storm and outbreak of cold air on 16-18 and 19-20 January, respectively. During the storm the winds veered from northerly to northwesterly and air temperatures dropped from 13°C to below zero. Snow squalls and sea smoke were common during this period and heat losses as large as 700 Watts m were calculated. The AMP observations made during this time showed that turbulent convection deepened the mixed layer from 40 to 150 m.

The depth of the 10°C isotherm is an index of thermocline displacement for warm core rings and is typically used to define the size and shape of these features. Unlike other rings studied in the Warm Core Rings Program,

ring 821 was relatively weak with only a 100 m depression of the thermocline compared to 300-500 m for more energetic rings. Contours of the depth of the 10°C isotherm for each survey are shown in Figures 7a and 7b. Although the area of > 300 m depth appears slightly smaller during the record survey, this apparent difference may be due to the absence of observations in the southwest portion of the ring. The 10°C isotherm in the central portion of the ring is deeper than the level affected by the vertical mixing described above.

Figure 8 shows the temperature/salinity relationship for the surface samples collected at the site of each XBT. The plusses are the observations made during the first XBT survey and the Xs are those made during the second survey. The remaining samples (filled circles) were collected when XBTs were deployed at various times during the AMP and CTD measurements. The 26.0 sigma-t surface is shown to illustrate the density differences between the samples. Those with densities greater than 26.0 were generally collected within the ring. Surface samples collected during the second survey are uniformly colder and more dense at salinities > 34.4°/• than those collected during the first survey.

Figures 9a-9e are the XBT temperature sections obtained during the first survey. Each of the sections shows a thick, well defined layer of 14-16°C (stippled region) water extending from the surface (or near the surface) to depths of 200 to 240 m. By the time of the second survey this extensive layer is considerably reduced as shown in Figures 10a-10e. During the latter survey only isolated parcels or thin layers of 14-15° water remain. Only one XBT (No. 97) had a temperature of 16° in this layer. The erosion of this layer is the result of the strong vertical mixing during the outbreak of cold air cited before.

Figure 11 presents selected XBT traces from the center of the ring during each survey. These temperature profiles illustrate the effect of the vertical mixing which occurred within the ring during the cruise. Before the outbreak of cold air XBT Nos. 16 and 47 show relatively thick layers of nearly isothermal water with a temperature of 14.8 to 15.2°C. At the position of XBT Nos. 47 this water is overlain by 30 m of cooler, fresher water. After the outbreak XBT Nos. 95 and 114 show thick (155 to 190 m) layers of isothermal

water at temperatures of 14 and 13.5°C respectively. In both comparisons a net heat loss and mixed layer deepening has occurred, but it can be seen that a one-dimensional mixed layer budget of heat will give greatly different results. We expect that spatial averaging and analysis of the digital XBT data will be necessary before the change in total heat content can be meaningfully compared with the empirically calculated heat fluxes using the meteorological data in Table 2.

This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research contract No. N00014-82-C-0019, NR 083-004 with the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution.

Figure Captions

- Figure 1: A summary of the meteorological data collected during <u>Bartlett</u> cruise 40-B, January 1983. The outbreak of cold air on 19-20 January can be seen in the record of dry bulb temperatures.
- Pigure 2: Pifteen surface analysis charts showing the weather patterns during Bartlett cruise 40-B, January 1983. The storm which triggered the outbreak of cold air over the ring is shown in Figures 2i-2k on 16-17 January.
- Figure 3a: The location of XBT Nos. 10-47 deployed on the first survey of ring 82I during Bartlett cruise 40-B. This work was done during 9-11 January, 1983.
- Figure 3b: The location of XBT Nos. 84-117 deployed during the second survey of ring 82I from 21-22 January, 1983.
- Figure 4a: Contours of surface temperature (°C) measured at each XBT during the first survey.
- Figure 4b: Contours of surface temperature (°C) measured at each XBT during the second survey.
- Figure 5a: Contours of surface salinity ($^{\circ}/_{\circ \circ}$) from samples collected at each XBT during the first survey.
- Figure 5b: Contours of surface salinity ($^{\circ}/_{\circ \circ}$) from samples collected at each XBT during the second survey.
- Figure 6a: The thickness of the mixed layer (m) during the first survey.
- Figure 6b: The thickness of the mixed layer (m) during the second survey.
- Figure 7a: The depth (m) of the 10°C isotherm during the first XBT survey.
- Figure 7b: The depth (m) of the 10°C isotherm during the second XBT survey.
- Figure 8: A T/S diagram of surface samples collected in warm core ring 82I during Bartlett cruise 40-B in January, 1983.
- Figure 9a-9e: Temperature sections (°C) during the first XBT survey of warm core ring 82I. The stippled areas define the extent of the 14-16°C layer. The inset shows the position of the section relative to the survey.

- Figure 10a-10e: Temperature sections (°C) during the second XBT survey. The stippled areas and inset are as in Figure 9.
- Figure 11: Selected XBT profiles from warm core ring 82I. The mixed layer during the first survey is shown by profile Nos. 16 and 47 while Nos. 95 and 114 characterize the mixed layer during the second survey.

Table Captions

- Table 1: Log of XBT data collected during USNS <u>Bartlett</u> cruise 40-B, January 1983. The position of each T-7 XBT is given in degrees and fractions of degrees, data is Julian day and time is GMT. The bucket temperature is in *Celsius and the maximum depth reached by the probe is in meters.
- Table 2: Meteorological observations recorded during USNS <u>Bartlett</u> cruise 40-B. Wind and ship speeds are in knots, directions are °T, temperatures are °Celsius and positions are degrees and tenths (north and west are +).

Table 1

Log of XBT Data Collected During USNS Bartlett Cruise 40-B January 1983

The position of each of the T-7 XBTs is given in degrees and fraction of degrees. Date is Julian day and time is GMT. The bucket temperature is in *Celsius and the maximum depth reached by the probe is in meters.

ebte	date/time-	-latitude-	-longitude-	-buokets	linityma	x depth
1	70007	40.723	70.303	7.4		79
2	70700	40.013	68.117	7.4	33.433	850
3	71000	40.007	47.742	12.5	34.247	850
•	71100	40.05	67.758	11.3	33.723	850
•	71200					
6	71206	40.077	67.548	12.2	34.437	850
7	71303	40.135	47.375	15.0	35.472	850
	71401	40.177	67.21	15.0	35.478	850
•	71457	40.253	67.07	10.1	33.507	650
10	71600	40.315	46.702	10.3	33.445	850
11	91700	40.342	44.715	13.5	34.676	850
12	71800	40.417	44.533	16.2	35.476	850
13	71700	40.445	44.38	10.2	34.246	650
11	92000	40.538	66.175	11.2	35.228	850
13	72100	40.448	46.045	14.2	35.211	810
16	72200	40.755	45.918	14.7	35.374	850
17	92300	40.875	45.772	15.5	35.314	850
16	100000	40.958	45.448	10.3	33.787	850
1 7	100105	41.048	65.547	7.4	32.384	600
20	100203	40.945	65.578	9.6	33.226	850
21	100302	40.833	65.572	10.1	33.403	850
22	100400	40.708	45.4	10.2	33.715	850
23	100500	40.54	45.427	10.3	33.784	850
24	100603	40.405	45.442		34.101	850
25	100700	40.248	65.697	10.7	34.147	850
24	100800	90.132	45.718	10.2	33.723	850
27	100700	37.795	65.737	8.6	33.201	850
28	101000	40.08	65.857	6.3	33.109	850
2 7	101100	40.18	65.957		34.110	850
30	101200	40.297	44.073	11.4	34.321	850
31	101334	40.45	66.197	10.7	34.237	850
32	101430	40.585	44.302	9.7	33.676	850
33	101530	40.702	66.388	٧.6	33.585	850
34	101630	40.843	66.492	13.8	34.353	850
35	101730	40.728	66.375	7.8	33.101	820
34	101830	40.897	46.255	13.7	34.759	850
37	201945	40.842	66.068	12.6	34.531	850
35	102100	40.815	45.882	13.5	34.766	705
31	102255	40.752	65.675	13.7	35.040	850
40	110030	40.675	45.503	12.5	34.476	850
41	110200	40.655	45.275	9.8	33.578	850
42	110300	40.623	65.345	8.4	33.014	850
43	110400	40.548	65.597	14.3	35.154	650
44	110500	40.538	45.808	14.2	35.381	#50
45	110535	40.526	45.825	14.2	35.222	#30 #30
46 47	110855	40.433 40.54	45.638 45.788	12.8 11.8	34.827 34.356	#50
48	121034	40.503	65.725	13.0	34.678	#30
47	121205	40.417	65.887	12.2	34.305	650
50	122145	40.34	45.733	12.6	34.530	850
51	1 30 4 30	40.27	44.078	13.2	34.766	770
52	131547	40.303	46.183	12.6	34.673	850
53	140015	40.35	46.237	12.3	34.627	850
					- · · · 	

			44 656		34.421	85(
54	146727	40.327	44.237 46.283	12.6 12.4		850
35	141614	40.343	46.278	12.0	34.440	850
54 57	150232 151230	40,285 40,308	44.272	11.1		740
54	151405	40.327		13.1	34.672	85
3)	131317	40.38	44.023	11.4	34.281	650
40	131428	40.378	45.835	11.3	34.041	
41	161632	40.04	45.848	10.7	33.878	40
42	141730	40.133	45.78	14.0	34.820	74
63	141837	40.215		13.0	34.857	77
69	141730	40.277	44.137	12.4	34.440	85
45	14					
4 4	1 71 7 45	40.043	46.788	13.0 12.7		45 45
47	171700	40.172	66.57 66.372	12.6	34.745	83
41	172025	40.313	44.12	12.0	34.825	85
70	100451	40.305		13.1		85
71	180845	40.313	45.9	8.6		80
72	101230	40.33	45.72	10.5	33.887	80
73	190535	40.328	66.288	13.3	34.737	83
74	171050	40.327	44.272	13.3	34.970	830
75	171440	40.32	66.308	13.1	34.941	681
76				•		
77						
78	200115	40.257	66.373	13.2	35.104	83
79	200430	40.267	66.375	13.6	35.170	6.3
80	200745	40.248	66.44	13.7	35.212	83
41	201020	40.242	66.505	13.7		83
62	202015	40.252	66.493	13 9	35.250	84
#3	202334	40.233	46.45	13.8	35.244	74
84	211440	40.585	67	11.2	34.425	83
8.	211540 211640	40.52 40.457	66.832 46.65	14.3 13.5	34.34 8 35.115	83(83(
87	211740	40.302	66.443	13.4		83
	211840	40.31	46.272	7.2	32.774	85
47	211740	40.255	66.113	6.5	32.607	85
70	212040	40.2	66.732		33.238	85
71	213140	40.147	66.082	7.3	32.847	85
72	212240	40.143	66.263	6.8	32.579	85
73	212340	40.122	66.468	7.8	33.042	83
74	220040	40.087	66.668	13.7	35.247	85
75	220140	40.043	66.857	14.1	35.311	83
74	220240	40.055	67.05	12.8	34.877	83
	220340	40.038	67.235		32.986	85
76	220440	40.127	47.147	13.1	34.882	£5
77	220540	40.227	67.007	13.2	35.073	84
100	220440	40.327	66.86	13.6	35.189	85
101	220740	40.427	66.708	13.2	35.106	85
1 0 2 1 0 3	220840 220940	40.522	44.542	13.5	35.144	85 85
104	221040	40.642 40.743	46.46 46.34	7.4 7.8	32.777 33.152	85
105	221140	40.577	44.350	6.6	32.641	85
104	221240	40.435	66.363	7.7	33.275	83
107	221340	40.28	66.375	13.5	35.128	85
108	221440	40.133	66.41	7.6	33.043	85
107	221540	37.776	66.261	6.6	32.660	85
110	221640	37.813	66.477	7.4	32.852	83
111	221745	37.728	45.402	4.8	32.722	85
113	221850	40.055	66.712	13.7	35.327	85
113	221731	40.14	44.775	13.0	35.385	85
114	222015	40.23	66.847	19.5	35.254	850
1 1 5 1 1 6	222100	40.32	44.702	13.5	35.106	85
	222200	40.458	66.772	13.1	38.074	85

Table 2

Meteorological Observations Recorded During USMS Bartlett Cruise 40-8
9 - 23 January 1983

Wind and ship speeds are in knots, directions are *T, tamperatures are *Celsius and positions are degrees and tenths (north and west are +).

	EAT	~[M0	4140	SHIP	SHIP	844.	847	WET	SEA		SAIL
047	1146	100.	914.	500.	HÓC.	PRS.	AUL 8	BULB	Suer	P851710H	#140 SPO
	4910	15.0	330	10.0	102	1026	7.5	3.3	4.6	40 00.4 -40 04.3	15.2
•••	1710	22.0	330	9.7	670	rosa	4.2	3.2	15.0	40 00.1 -47 22.5	25.4
907	[450 2054	17.0	327 035	•.7	067 030	1035 1030	4.4 2.1	1.5	13.5	40 21.6 -46 43.4	25.0 26.7
913	0100	16.9	625	2.5	044	1034.5	3.3	9.5	7.2	41 03.8 -49 33.7	23.6
013	0100	4.0	540	10.1	106	1073	7.0	••1	9.6	40 32.4 -49 37.7	15.5
613 613	1300	13.0	270 130	10.5	354 190	1031.4	11.3	7.9	12.0	39 59.9 -49 44.3	19.7
919	1700	23.6	130	7.6	070	1030.5	12.5	1.7		40 43.7 -64 27.6	23.0
010	5100	14.8	919	4.)	115	1054	12.3	1.0	13.5		34.0
011	6165	24.0	255	7.3	097 3 0 5	1010	14.0	11.	-1 -1	40 40.7 -45 25.6	24. 6 13.6
011 011	1300	13.3 23.0	?10 245	10.5	263	1010.5	10.0	13.5	15.7	40 35.5 -46 34.4	20.0
911	2110	1.0	130		105	1005	12.2	11.5	-9	40 34.7 -47 04.4	13.0
912	0055 0500	3-1	155	10.5	095 065	1005	13.5	15.4	9.7	40 30.2 -67 32.4	1.0 1.3
915	9700	4.3 7.3	265 180	9.9 9.9	100	1003.5	13.0	12.0	13.1	40 32.4 -44 01.3	7.9
915	1300	4.0	250	9.2	056	1007	12.2	3.7	12.1	40 24.4 -45 53.4	7.9
915	1701	2.0	940	1.3	156	-9	12.0	13.0	15.1	40 23.2 -45 52.0	• • 7
913 913	9100	10.0	950 310	6.5 2.L	307 120	1004.5	10.4	1.4	12.5	40 10.6 -44 53.2	34.0
613	0453	23.5	656	i.i	109	1000	•.;	4.6	13.5	40 16.0 -44 01.6	31.0
013	9902	31.3	345	1.4	000	1005		1.5	12.5	40 19.7 -44 06.3	32.4
913	1700	26.9 [6.9	345 370	1.2	345 205	1000 (011	1.3	5.6	12.2	40 24.4 -46 68.5	29.0 23.5
013 013	5110	20.7	360	5.0	550	1015	7.7	3.3	12.2	40 19.2 -44 13.4	24.0
	0100	4.0	250	0.9	044	1016.1	4.3	5.0	12.4	40 21.1 -44 13.7	13.7
•1•	9452	4.0	520	1.1	034	1015	7.7	4.1	15.3	40 20.4 -44 12.7	15.0
014 014	0905 L 304	15.3	5 1 0 5 1 1	1.0	200 067	1014	7.4 7.1	4.5	15.1	40 19.3 -44 19.2 40 18.8 -44 15.3	17.4
•1•	1047	4.0	1 20	1.3	220	1017	7.7	4.5	15.6	40 21.5 -44 17.0	24.4
•1•	SFOS	6.5	110	•••	245	1050	• • •	•••	15.0	40 19.9 -44 13.7	13.6
01 4	0048 0453	13.0	120 320	9.6	242 340	1050	4.5	3.1 2.7	11.4	46 17.2 -46 14.1 40 17.9 -44 17.9	17.3 19.0
011	0057	6.9	150	1.3	500	1014	5.3	2.4	11.2	40 19.1 -44 14.3	13.4
014	1520	12.0	355	4.0	079	LOLT	4.1	3.3	15.4	40 10.0 -46 15.7	17.7
915 915	1700 2100	24.0 7.0	510 010	1.3	077 290	1015	7.0	7.0	10.3	40 24.5 -45 44.3	12.0 13.0
*15	0011	21.0	955	1.5	954	1004	12.1	•	17.6	40 26.2 -47 97.7	29.0
•10	9452	30.0	636	0.3	111	999.5	13.7	12.0	12.5	40 24.3 -69 57.2	39.0
015	1302	7.0	110	2.9	145	*** **3	15-5	12.7	10.1	40 16.6 -65 40.3	19.0 15.6
914 914	1670	13.0	320	10.4	350	996	12.1	10.5	13.8 10.3	40 04.1 -49 53.4	14.4
015	5100	20.0	150	0.1	140	994	4.4	5.7	11.0	•• 22.5 -44 10.6	20.0
et?	005L	31.0	235 033	1.7	272 237	***	7.0	***	12.5	40 17.3 -44 11.9 40 10.4 -44 19.3	35.4
017 017	9925	35.0	925	1.6 2.4	257	1005	9.5 9.1	5.9	13.3	40 04.9 -46 22.9	33.0 32.0
017	1,706	20.9	924	1.0	265	1005		5.3	14.1	40 02.2 -44 14.6	29.0
017	1700	50.0	929 120	1.5	267 150	1004	1. I	4.3 5.1	13.1	40 03.2 -64 47.7	21.4
017 010	0102	4.3	233	3.8	017	1004	7.7	1.5	15.3	40 19.5 -40 11.5	11.4
-10	8444	17.0	120	5.2	612	-4	4.1	4.0	13.0	40 17.9 -44 07.6	10.5
010	.0050	13.3	592	•••	954	1004	•••	1.1	•••	40 19.0 -45 53.7	17.2
914	1703 1700	20.3 20.3	130 230	1.l 7.7	505	1007	4.7	1.4	7.5	40 19.7 -47 40.0	26.0 20.0
014	\$100	6.3	5 30	7.4	130	1006	4.3	1.0	7.5	40 14.7 -44 00.6	13.5
61.	0052 0452	24.0 14.3	025	7.7 3.9	200 105	1006	2.3	-3.7 3.7	13.5	40 10.0 -44 11.7	11.0 21.4
017	0045	20.0	117	::	1 05	1000. 7	::	9.3	13.3	40 19.4 -44 14.0	23.0
61 4	1257	10.0	130	0.1	194	1000	6.7	1.1	13.3	40 16.7 -64 14.7	27.0
61. 61.	1045	28.3 16.3	395 135	7.3 0.7	353	1010	•••	-0.7 -0.3	13.0	40 14.6 -46 17.2 40 13.2 -44 19.2	12.0 27.1
650	0013	24.5	355	4.6	350	1011	-0.L	9.1	13.1	40 13.4 -44 22.6	20.5
989 .	0490	14.0	150	•••	553	1013.3	0.7	3.0	13-5	00 18.6 -64 22.2	
050 053	1300	20.3 34.3	120	i.e	352 573	1013.5	1.0	-0.5 -3.3	13.5	40 13.2 -44 22.0 40 12.0 -44 32.0	27.6 37.0
653	1040	20.9	355	1.5	330	1055	-0.4	3.2	15-0	00 13.1 -0A 34.9	39.0
950	\$110	19.7	245	1.5	114	1055	5-3	3.0	13.4	99 13.2 -44 27.2	23.4
110	9044	24.3 24.7	335 910	1.7	205 015	1053.3	2.3 0.7	-0.L	12.3	00 16.7 -64 20.9 00 16.0 -66 31.0	32.7 27.3
ise	9452	15.5	350	7.4	307	1055.1	1.1	-0.1	15.7	5.14 44- 2.45 04	38.4
130	1313	10.0	OLO.	1.5	773	1050	1.4	3.0	14.4	40 33.3 -44 52.7	31.0
150	1499	10.8	640 640	9.1 9.3	110	1050 1054	7.0	-3.4	L).;	40 24.1 -44 39.4 46 11.7 -47 59.7	11.0
951	0011	10.7	911	*;;	100	1050	2.3 1.6	7.3	· [3.5	60 84.8 -44 43.8	22.0 11.0
077	0435	14.3	350	4.7	044	F054	1.1	→.≀	13.3	46 07.4 -47 04.4	10.0
955	1700	17.0	320	1.0	100	1050	2.5	3.0	13.4	40 33.4 -44 37.4	25.7
955 955	1499	12.0	190 330	9.5	334	1024	3.0 4.0	3.8 3.1	9.9 7.1	40 83.0 -44 81.0 40 44- 4.76 00	3.5 17.0
411	2100	15.0	935	4.4	333	1050.5	3.6	1.3	13.0	40 19.2 -44 94.1	20.2
663	0011	•••	010	10.7	543	-1	1.0	0.7	7.6	40 34.4 -47 24.3	

A STATE OF THE STA




Figure 1: A summary of the meteorological data collected during <u>Bartlett</u> cruise 40-B, January 1983. The outbreak of cold air on 19-20 January can be seen in the record of dry bulb temperatures.

THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE




Figure 2a

Pigure 2: Fifteen surface analysis charts showing the weather patterns during Bartlett cruise 40-B, January 1983. The storm which triggered the outbreak of cold air over the ring is shown in Figures 2i-2k on 16-17 January.




Figure 2b




Figure 2c

The state of the s




Figure 2d




Figure 2e




Figure 2f




Figure 2g




Figure 2h




Figure 2i




Figure 2j




Figure 2k




Figure 21




Figure 2m




Figure 2n




Figure 2o





Figure 3a: The location of XBT Nos. 10-47 deployed on the first survey of ring 82I during Bartlett cruise 40-B. This work was done during 9-11 January, 1983.



Pigure 3b: The location of XBT Nos. 84-117 deployed during the second survey of ring 821 from 21-22 January, 1983.




Figure 4a: Contours of surface temperature (°C) measured at each XBT during the first survey.




Figure 4b: Contours of surface temperature (°C) measured at each XBT during the second survey.




Figure 5a: Contours of surface salinity (°/...) om samples collected at each XBT during the first survey.




Figure 5b: Contours of surface salinity (°/...) from samples collected at each XBT during the second survey.




Figure 6a: The thickness of the mixed layer (m) during the first survey.




Figure 6b: The thickness of the mixed layer (m) during the second survey.




Figure 7a: The depth (m) of the 10°C isothern during the first KBT survey.






Figure 7b: The depth (m) of the 10°C isotherm during the second XBT survey.



A T/S diagram of surface samples collected in warm core ring 821 during Bartlett cruise 40-B in January, 1983. Figure 8:



The inset shows the position of the section rela-Pigure 9a-9e: Temperature sections (°C) during the first XBT survey of warm The stippled areas define the extent of the tive to the survey. core ring 821. 14-16°C layer.





Figure 9b







Figure 9d






Figure 10a-10e: Temperature sections (°C) during the second XBT survey. stippled areas and inset are as in Figure 9.








Figure 10b









during the first survey is shown by profile Nos. 16 and 47 while Selected XBT profiles from warm core ring 821. The mixed layer Nos. 95 and 114 characterize the mixed layer during the second survey. Figure 11:

MANDATORY DISTRIBUTION LIST

FOR UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL REPORTS, REPRINTS, AND FINAL REPORTS
PUBLISHED BY OCEANOGRAPHIC CONTRACTORS
OF THE OCEAN SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DIVISION
OF THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

(REVISED NOVEMBER 1978)

1 Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Research and Advanced Technology) Military Assistant for Environmental Science Room 3D129 Washington, D.C. 20301

Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217

3 ATTN: Code 483 1 ATTN: Code 420C

2 ATTN: 102B

1 CDR Joe Spigai, (USN)
ONR Representative
Woods Hole Oceanographic Inst.
Woods Hole, MA 02543

Commanding Officer
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D.C. 20375

6 ATTN: Library, Code 2627

12 Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314 ATTN: DCA

Commander Naval Oceanographic Office NSTL Station Bay St. Louis, MS 39522

1 ATTN: Code 8100 1 ATTN: Code 6000 1 ATTN: Code 3300

NODC/NOAA Code D781 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20235

Mr. Michael H. Kelly
Administrative Contracting Officer
Department of the Navy
Office of Naval Research
Eastern/Central Regional Office
Building 114, Section D
666 Summer Street
Boston, MA 02210

